

APPLICATION NO.

10/849,051

7055

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

P25332 6745

EXAMINER

GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191

7590

FILING DATE

05/20/2004

10/17/2005

NORMAN, MARC E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

3744

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Jae Hwan Kwon

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	.10/849,051.	KWON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marc E. Norman	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-11 and 13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 May 2004 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/04: 8/10/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/849,051

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki.

As per claim 1, Suzuki discloses an air conditioning control system comprising a plurality of indoor units (11, 12), an outdoor unit 13, power meter 25 connected to the outdoor unit, and a central control unit (remote controller 24) calculating the respective power consumptions of the individual indoor units based on the airflow at each unit and the power consumption at the outdoor unit, and displays the calculated amounts (see Constitution section).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/849,051

Art Unit: 3744

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Lynch.

As per claims 2 and 3, Suzuki does not specify the type of communication line. However, as taught by Lynch, RS485 communication lines are old and well-known and have been applied to communication between indoor units, outdoor units, and controllers of air conditioning systems (columns 5, lines 40-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply such communication lines for the purpose of facilitating communication between the different system components.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharood et al.

As per claim 4, Ethernet protocols and protocol conversion bridges are common and well-known in the art and have been applied to refrigeration-type systems as taught by Sharood et al. Again, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply such communication lines for the purpose of facilitating communication between the different system components.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Kondo et al.

As per claim 12, Suzuki teaches all aspects of the claim (as discussed above regarding claim 1), except a database storing equipment information. Kondo et al. teaches a similar arrangement for calculating power consumption at indoor units wherein a database (memory

Art Unit: 3744

means 21) includes weight coefficients based on the capacities different indoor units. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply such a database to the system of Suzuki for the similar purpose of taking into account indoor units having different capacities.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-11 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 571-272-4812. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/849,051

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

MARC NORMAN

PRIMARY EXAMINER